

HARVARD UNIVERSITY  
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02138

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
2 DIVINITY AVENUE

July 2, 1969

*2 h. de Verneuil !*

Professor Alexander Grothendieck  
59 rue du Moulon  
Bures-sur-Yvette  
Seine-et-Oise, France

Dear Shurik:

Greetings! I hope you and yours are all fine in your Paris castle. Sorry you didn't come to the Arbietstagung.

*= De Rham cohomology  
i.e. in Hodge cohomology*

For some time now I have been puzzled about the description of the Chern-classes in hypercohomology. For characteristic zero I have a nice formula involving curvature, but it is hard to make sense of it in characteristic  $p$ . The difficulty I run into is already apparent in the construction of the Chern classes a'la Atiyah in  $H^r(X; \Omega^r)$ , and it seems to me that your account in one of your exposes - (I am sorry but I only saw it in Oxford in a bound book containing various papers and I don't know the precise reference)- doesn't come to grips with this difficulty.

The point is simply that if  $k(E) \in H^1(X, \Omega^1 \otimes \text{End } E)$  denotes the Atiyah curvature of  $E$ , and  $k^2(E)$  denotes its  $r$ th power in  $H^r(X, \Omega^r \otimes \text{Sym}^r(\text{End } E))$  then a homogeneous invariant polynomial  $\varphi$  does not induce a map from  $\text{Sym}^r(\text{End } E)$  to  $0$ . As I see it such a  $\varphi$  only defines a map from  $\Gamma_r(\text{End } E)$  to  $0$  where  $\Gamma_r(\text{End } E) \subset E^{(r)}$  is the subspace of invariant elements under the permutation group. The problem therefore seems to be to define an operation  $S^r: H^1(X, \Omega^1 \otimes \text{End } E)$  to  $H^r(X, \Omega^r \otimes \Gamma_r(\text{End } E))$  and I don't see how this is to be done.

Your comments on this would be very welcome.

Let me just briefly indicate how the complete Chern-class can be defined in hypercohomology, in the characteristic zero case, say for the base field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We start with the bundle  $E$  over  $X$ , and an invariant polynomial  $\varphi$  of degree  $r$  which therefore induces a degree  $r$ -map

$$\varphi: \text{End } E \rightarrow 0,$$



and also denote its obvious extension from

$$\Omega^2 \otimes \text{End } E \rightarrow \Omega^{2r}$$

by  $\varphi$ . The problem is to define  $\varphi(E) \in H^{2r}(X; \Omega)$ .

For this purpose let  $U = \{U_\alpha\}$  be a cover of  $X$  such that  $E|U$  admits a connection, say  $D = \{D_\alpha\}$ . Relative to this covering  $\varphi(E)$  is then represented by a Čech cochain

$$\varphi(D) \in \sum_{p+q=2r} C^p\{U; \Omega^q\} \quad \text{as follows:}$$

For each  $s$ -simplex  $\sigma$  of the nerve of  $U$ , let

$$U_\sigma = U_{\alpha_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{\alpha_s}.$$

Also let  $\mathbb{C}^{s+1}$  be complex  $s+1$  space and let  $H^s \subset \mathbb{C}^{s+1}$  be the subset  $\sum_{i=0}^s t_i = 1$ . We lift  $E$  to  $U_\sigma \times H^s$  and there define a connection  $D_\sigma$  for  $E$  by simply setting

$$D_\sigma = \sum_{i=0}^s t_i D_{\alpha_i}.$$

Next let  $K$  be the curvature of  $D$  in the usual sense, and let  $\varphi(K_\sigma)$  be the resulting form on  $U_\sigma \times H^s$ . Now if we interpret  $\sigma$  as the set  $t_i$  real;  $0 \leq t_i \leq 1$ ; then

$$U_\sigma \times \sigma \subset U_\sigma \times H^s$$

and we may integrate  $\varphi(K_\sigma)$  over the fiber  $\sigma$ , to obtain a form

$$\int_\sigma \varphi(K_\sigma) \in \Omega^{2r-|\sigma|}(U_\sigma).$$

Modulo signs I now claim that the cochain

$$\sigma \longrightarrow \int_\sigma \varphi(K_\sigma)$$

represents  $\varphi(E)$ .



Remarks. (1) The integration introduced here is ofcourse a purely formal matter, it does involve rational denominators though and hence only makes sense in characteristic zero.

(2) As Segal pointed out to me in Oxford, this construction involves a method he used before, in his K-theory, namely the consideration of the subset

$$\tilde{X} \subset X \times N(U), \quad N(U) = \text{Nerve of } U$$

consisting of the pairs  $(x, \sigma)$  with  $x \in U_\sigma$ .

Well so much for now - any comments on this you might have would also be appreciated. In particular do you expect a naive formula like this to hold in characteristic  $p$  also?

Finally, last spring Hartshorne brought back news that you had a very elegant treatment of some of the formulas of Baum and myself. Unfortunately the trip had washed all the details out of his brain. I meant to write you then about all these matters but various events, e.g., our rebellion intervened, I will send you a copy of the opus with Baum, and would be much interested in your account of the matter.

With best regards to all of you.

Yours,

*Raoul*

Raoul Bott

RB/mfm

P.S. I will be in LaJolla (Department of Math, University of California, San Diego; LaJolla, California) till August 18th. Thereafter mail would be sent to Harvard.