

Letter to J. Murre  
18.7.1962

---

par  
Alexander Grothendieck

Transcription by



Edited by Mateo Carmona  
mateo.carmona@csg.igrothendieck.org  
Centre for Grothendieckian Studies (CSG)  
Grothendieck Institute  
Corso Statuto 24, 12084 Mondovì, Italy

© 2024 Grothendieck Institute  
All rights reserved

This transcription is derived from an unpublished scan provided by the Montpellier archive with the reference “Cote n° 57”. This project was carried out by researchers and volunteers of the CSG under the supervision of Mateo Carmona. More details are available at:

<https://csg.igrothendieck.org/transcriptions/>.

How to cite:

A. Grothendieck. *Letter to J. Murre*. Unpublished letter, 1962.  
Transcription by M. Carmona et al., CSG, Grothendieck Institute. Draft, April 2024.



July 18, 1962

My dear Murre,

I recently had some thought on finiteness conditions for Picard preschemes, and substantially improved on the results stated in the last section of my last Bourbaki talk. The main result stated there for a simple projective morphism with connected geometric fibers (namely that the pieces  $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X/S}^P$  are of finite type over  $S$ ) has been extended by Mumford to the case where instead of  $f$  simple we assume only  $f$  flat with integral geometric fibers, (at least if these are normal). Using his result (the proof of which is quite simple and beautiful), I could get rid of the normality assumption, and even (as in theorem 4.1. of my talk) restrict to the consideration of the two first non trivial coefficients of the Hilbert polynomials. The key results for the reduction are the following (the proofs being very technical, and rather different for (i) and (ii), except that (ii) uses (i) to reduce to the normal case; moreover (ii) uses Mumford's result and the equivalence criteria as developed in my last Seminar):

- (i) Let  $X, Y$  be proper over  $S$  noetherian, let  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  be a *surjective*  $S$ -morphism, assume for simplicity of the statement that the Picard preschemes exist, then  $f : \underline{\text{Pic}}_{Y/S} \longrightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X/S}$  is of finite type (and in fact affine if  $S$  is the spectrum of a field), i.e. a subset  $M$  of  $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{Y/S}$  is quasi-compact iff its image in  $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X/S}$  is.
- (ii) The same conclusion holds for a canonical immersion  $X \longrightarrow Y$ , is  $Y/S$  is projective with fibers all components of which are of dimension  $\geq 3$ , and if  $X$  is the sub-scheme of zeros of a section over  $Y$  of an invertible sheaf  $\mathbf{L}$  ample with respect to  $S$ .

A connected result is that for any  $X/S$  proper, and integer  $n \neq 0$ , the  $n$ -th power homomorphism in the Picard prescheme is of finite type.

I tell you about this, namely (i), because of the method of proof, involving of course considerations of non flat descent. The fact that I do not have any good effectivity criterion does not hamper, by just recalling what the effectivity of a given descent datum means. Now it turns out that by a slightly more careful analysis of

the situation, one can prove the following theorem, of a type very close to the one you have proved recently, and to some you still want to prove as I understand it.

*Theorem. — Let  $S$  be an integral noetherian scheme,  $X$  and  $X'$  proper over  $S$  and  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  a surjective  $S$ -morphism, look at the corresponding homomorphism for the Picard functors  $f^\bullet : \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X/S} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X'/S}$ . Assume:*

- a) the existence problems  $A$  and  $B$  defined below for  $X/S$  has always a solution (this is certainly true when  $X/S$  is projective).*
- b) the morphism  $f_S : X'_S \rightarrow X_S$  induced on the generic fiber is a morphism of descent, i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_{X_S} \rightarrow f(\mathcal{O}_{X'_S}) = h(\mathcal{O}_{X''_S})$  is exact. Then, provided we replace  $S$  by a suitable non empty open set, the homomorphism  $f^\bullet$  is representable by a quasi-affine morphism, more specifically in the factorisation of  $f^\bullet$  via the functor representing suitable descent data,  $f^\bullet = v \circ u$  with  $u$  affine and  $v$  a monomorphism (as you well know),  $v$  is in fact representable by finite direct sums of immersions.*

*Corollary 1. — Without assuming b), but instead in a) allowing  $X/S$  to be replaced by suitable other schemes  $X_i$  finite over  $X$ , the same conclusion holds, namely  $f^\bullet$  is representable by quasi-affine morphisms.*

This follows from the theorem, using a suitable factorisation of  $f$ . For instance, using Chow's lemma and the Main existence theorem in my first talk on Picard schemes, one gets:

*Corollary 2. — Assume  $X/S$  proper satisfies the condition*

- a') for every  $X'$  finite over  $X$ , there exists a non empty open subset  $S_1$  of  $S$  such that problem  $A$  for  $X'/S_1$  has always solution (this condition is satisfied if  $X/S$  is projective).*

*Then provided we replace  $S$  by a suitable  $S_1$  non empty and open,  $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X/S}$  exists, is separated, its connected components are of finite type over  $S$ .*

**N.B.** The proof does not give any evidence towards the fact that in the theorem, one could replace “quasi-affine” by “affine”. This is true however over a field, because a quasi-affine algebraic group is affine!

It would be interesting to have a counterexample, say, over a ring of dimension 1 such as  $k[t]$ ,  $X$  and  $X'$  projective and simple over  $S$  and  $X' \rightarrow X$  birational, or alternatively,  $X$  and  $X'$  projective and normal over  $S$ , and  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  finite. A counterexample in the latter case would of course provide a counterexample to the effectivity problem for a finite morphism raised in my first talk on descent...

“Problem A” is the following: given  $X/S$  and Module  $F$  on  $X$ , to represent the functor on the category of  $S$ -preschemes taking any  $S'/S$  into a one-element or into the empty set, according as to whether  $F'$  on  $X'/S'$  is flat with respect to  $S'$  or not, where  $X' = X \times_S S'$ ,  $F' = F \times_S S'$ .

Given  $X/S$ , we say that “Problem A for  $X/S$  has always a solution” if for every constant  $F'$  on some  $X'/S'$ , the previous functor on  $(\text{Sch})/S'$  is representable by a  $S'$ -scheme of finite type. The main step in my proof of existence of Hilbert schemes shows that this condition is satisfied when  $X/S$  is projective. In the proof, essential use is made of the Hilbert polynomial, in fact we get a solution as a disjoint sum of subschemes of  $S$  corresponding to various Hilbert polynomials. Still I would expect that the functor is representable as soon as  $X/S$  is proper. In view of the application we have in mind here, it would be sufficient (for any integral  $S$ ) to find in  $S$  a non empty open set  $S_1$  such Problem A has always a solution for  $X_1 = X \times_S S_1$  over  $S_1$ . To prove this weaker existence result, it is well possible that a reduction to the projective case is possible, using Chow’s lemma and some induction on the relative dimension perhaps. I also would expect that a proof will be easier when working over a complete noetherian local ring, hence the case of a general noetherian local ring by flat descent. And it is well possible that, putting together two such partial results, a proof of the existence in general could be obtained. (I met with such difficulties already time ago in a very analogous non projective existence problem, which beside I did not solve so far!). This problem A has been met also by Hartshorne (A Harvard Student), but I doubt he will work seriously on it. Thus I now wrote you in the hope you may be interested to have a try on this problem. As a general fact, our knowledge of non projective existence theorems is exceedingly poor, and I hope this will change eventually.

Sincerely yours.

A. Grothendieck

