## Letter to J. Coates 6.1.1966

<sup>par</sup> Alexander Grothendieck

Transcription by



Edited by Mateo Carmona mateo.carmona@csg.igrothendieck.org Centre for Grothendieckian Studies (CSG) Grothendieck Institute Corso Statuto 24, 12084 Mondovì, Italy

> © 2024 Grothendieck Institute All rights reserved

This transcription is derived from an unpublished scan provided by the Montpellier archive with the reference "Cote n° 16". This project was carried out by researchers and volunteers of the CSG under the supervision of Mateo Carmona. More details are available at:

https://csg.igrothendieck.org/transcriptions/.

How to cite:

A. Grothendieck. *Letter to J. Coates*. Unpublished letter, 1966. Transcription by M. Carmona et al., CSG, Grothendieck Institute. Draft, April 2024.

Dear Coates,

Here a few more comments to my talk on the conjectures. The following proposition shows that the conjecture  $C_{\ell}(X)$  is independent of the chosen polarization, and has also some extra interest, in showing the part played by the fact that  $H^{i}(X)$  should be "motive-theoretically" isomorphic to its natural dual  $H^{2n-i}(X)$  (as usual, I drop the twist for simplicity).

Proposition. — The condition  $C_{\ell}(X)$  is equivalent also to each of the following conditions:

- a)  $D_{\ell}(X)$  holds, and for every i < n, there exists an isomorphism  $H^{2n-i}(X) \longrightarrow$  $H^{i}(X)$  which is algebraic (i.e. induced by an algebraic correspondence class; we do not make any assertion on what it induces in degrees different from 2n - i).
- b) For every endomorphism  $H^i(X) \longrightarrow H^i(X)$  which is algebraic, the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial are rational, and for every i < n, there exists an isomorphism  $H^{2n-i}(X) \longrightarrow H^i(X)$  which is algebraic.

Proof. I sketched already how  $D_{\ell}(X)$  implies the fact that for an algebraic endomorphism of  $H^{i}(X)$ , the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial are rational numbers, therefore we know that a) implies b), and of course  $C_{\ell}(X)$  implies a). It remains to prove that b) implies  $C_{\ell}(X)$ . Let  $u : H^{2n-i}(X) \longrightarrow H^{i}(X)$  be the given isomorphism which is algebraic, and  $v : H^{i}(X) \longrightarrow H^{2n-i}(X)$  is an algebraic isomorphism in the opposite direction, induced by  $L_X^{n-i}$ . Then uv = w is an automorphism of  $H^{i}(X)$  which is algebraic, and the Hamilton-Cayley formula  $u^{b} - \sigma_{1}(w)u^{b-1} + \dots + (-1)^{b}\sigma_{b}(w) = 0$  (where the  $\sigma_{i}(w)$  are the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of w) such that  $w^{-1}$  is a linear combination of the  $w^{i}$ , with coefficients of the type  $+/-\sigma_{i}(w)/\sigma_{b}(w)$  (N.B.  $b = \operatorname{rank} H^{i}$ ). The assumption implies that these coefficients are rational, which implies that  $w^{-1}$  is algebraic, and so is  $w^{-1}u = v^{-1}$ , which was to be proved.

N.B. In characteristic 0, the statement simplifies to: C(X) equivalent to the existence of algebraic isomorphisms  $H^{2n-i}(X) \longrightarrow H^i(X)$ , (as the preliminary in

b) is then automatically satisfied). Maybe with some extra care this can be proved too in arbitrary characteristics.

Corollary. — Assume X and X' satisfy condition  $C_{\ell}$ , and let  $u : H^{i}(X) \longrightarrow H^{i+2D}(X') \longrightarrow H^{i}(X)$   $(D \in \mathbb{Z})$  be an isomorphism which is algebraic. Then  $u^{-1}$  is algebraic.

Indeed, the two spaces can be identified "algebraically" (both directions!) to their dual, so that the transpose of u can be viewed as an isomorphism u':  $H^{i+2D}(X') \longrightarrow H^i(X)$ . Thus u'u is an algebraic automorphism w of  $H^i(X)$ , and by the previous argument we see that  $w^{-1}$  is algebraic, hence so is  $u^{-1} = w^{-1}u'$ .

As a consequence, we see that if  $x \in H^i(X)$  is such that u(x) is algebraic (*i* being now assumed to be even), than so is x. The same result should hold in fact if u is a monomorphism, the reason being that in this case there should exists a left-inverse which is algebraic; this exists indeed in a case like  $H^{n-1}(X) \longrightarrow H^{n-1}(Y)$  (where we take the left inverse  $\Lambda_X \varphi_*$ ). But to get it in general, it seems w need moreover the Hodge index relation. (The complete yoga then being that we have the category of motives which is semi-simple!). Without speaking of motives, and staying down on earth, it would be nice to explain in the notes that C(X) together with the index relation  $I(X \times X)$  implies that the ring of correspondences classes for X is semi-simple, and how one deduces from this the existence of left and right inverses as looked for above.

This could be given in an extra paragraph (which I did not really touch upon in the talk), containing also the deduction of the Weil conjectures from the conjectures C and A.

A last and rather trivial remark is the following. Let's introduce variant  $A'_{\ell}(X)$  and  $A''_{\ell}(X)$  as follows:

 $A'_{\ell}(X)$ : if  $2i \le n-1$ , any element x of  $H^i(X)$  whose image in  $H^i(Y)$  is algebraic, is algebraic.

 $A''_{\ell}(X)$ : if  $2i \ge n-1$ , any algebraic element of  $H^{i+2}(X)$  is the image of an algebraic element of  $H^{i}(Y)$ .

Let us consider also the specifications  $A'_{\ell}(X)^{\circ}$  and  $A''_{\ell}(X)^{\circ}$ , where we restrict to the critical dimensions 2i = n-1 if n odd, 2i = n-2 if n even. All these conditions are in the nature of "weak" Lefschetz relations, and they are trivially implied by

 $A_{\ell}(X)$  resp.  $C_{\ell}(X)$  (in the first case, applying  $\varphi$  we see that  $L_X X$  is algebraic; in the second, we take  $y = \Lambda_Y \varphi^+(x)$ ). The remark then is that these pretendently "weak" variants in fact imply the full Lefschetz relations for algebraic cycles, namely:

Proposition. —  $C_{\ell}(X)$  is equivalent to the conjunction  $C_{\ell}(Y) + A_{\ell}(X \times X)^{\circ} + A_{\ell}''(X \times X)^{\circ}$ , hence (by induction) also to the conjunction of the conditions  $A_{\ell}'$  and  $A_{\ell}''$  for all of the varieties  $X \times X$ ,  $Y \times Y$ ,  $Z \times Z$ ,.... Analogous statement with  $X \times Y$ ,  $Y \times Z$  etc instead of  $X \times X$ ,  $Y \times Y$  etc.

This comes from the remark that  $A_{\ell}(X)^{\circ}$  follows from the conjunction of  $A'_{\ell}(X)^{\circ}$  and  $A''_{\ell}(X)^{\circ}$ , as one sees by decomposing  $L^2_X : H^{2m-2}(X) \longrightarrow H^{2m+2}(X)$ into  $H^{2m+2}(X) \xrightarrow{\varphi^k} H^{2m+2}(Y) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\alpha}} H^{2m}(X) \xrightarrow{L_X} H^{2m+2}(X)$  if dim X = 2m is even, and  $H^{2m+1-1}(X) \longrightarrow H^{2m+1+1}$  into  $H^{2m}(X) \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} H^{2m}(Y) \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} H^{2m+2}(X)$  if dim X = 2m + 1 is odd.

Sincerely yours